

SEATAC CITY COUNCIL REGULAR MEETING MINUTES

July 11, 2006

Immediately following the Special Council Meeting (approximately 6:05 PM)

City Hall
Council Chambers

CALL TO ORDER: The SeaTac City Council Regular Meeting was called to order by Mayor Gene Fisher at 6:11 p.m.

COUNCIL PRESENT: Mayor Gene Fisher, Deputy Mayor Ralph Shape, Councilmembers Anthony (Tony) Anderson, Chris Wythe, Terry Anderson, Joe Brennan and Don DeHan.

ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF: City Manager Craig Ward, Assistant City Manager Tina Rogers, Deputy City Clerk Kristina Gregg, City Attorney Mary Mirante Bartolo, Planning Director Steve Butler, Senior Planner Mike Scarey, Parks & Recreation Director Kit Ledbetter, Fire Chief Bob Meyer and Chief of Police Services Greg Dymerski.

FLAG SALUTE: John Thompson, SeaTac resident, led the Council, staff and audience in the Pledge of Allegiance.

PUBLIC COMMENTS: Phyllis Byers, 18302 29th Avenue South, President of the Highline School Board (HSB), stated that at the July 10 HSB Meeting, the School Resource Officer (SRO) program was reinstated in the 2007 school budget. The budget is expected to be adopted August 9, 2006.

PRESENTATION:

●Valley Ridge Sport Park Project

Parks & Recreation Director Kit Ledbetter introduced Bruce Dees & Associates Landscape Architect Don Campbell.

Mr. Campbell reviewed the history of this project. He stated that public input has been solicited from the City, user groups and the Highline School District (HSD). He reviewed the scope of work, budget, alternatives, and project drawings.

Discussion ensued as to the difference between synthetic and natural turf and whether it makes more sense to spend the money upfront and install the synthetic turf instead of spending the money throughout by maintaining the natural turf. Mr. Ledbetter stated that he would research this information and present it to the Council at a future date.

Mr. Ledbetter stated that these fields are also Tyee High School (HS) fields, so the City is going to request the HSD assist with paying for the changes to Field No. 1.

Councilmember (CM) Wythe stated that these costs have yet to be budgeted. Staff will be requesting funds during the 2007 budget process.

AGENDA BILL PRESENTATIONS:

Agenda Bill #2687 - A Motion extending the Interlocal Agreement (ILA) for the Mt. Rainier Pool (MRP) for years 2007 to 2009 by Mt. Rainier Pool Contributors (MRPC) and Mt. Rainier Pool Owners (MRPO)

Summary: This Motion extends the revised ILA of the MRPC and MRPO in 2007 – 2009 until the YMCA pool is completed. This revised ILA reduces the amount the City of SeaTac contributes from \$25,000 per year in 2004 – 2006 to \$17,200 in 2007, \$17,718 in 2008 and \$18,249 in 2009.

The original ILA was adopted in December 2002 establishing a coalition of governmental entities, the MRPC for the purpose of assembling adequate funds and coordinating subsidy payments to King County (KC) in order to permit the MRP to operate, and to develop a long-term financing and operating plan. The MRPC includes the Cities of Des Moines, Normandy Park, SeaTac, and the HSD.

The MRP capital fund has sufficient funds through 2009 available so no more capital funds will need to be collected. This reduces the contributions needed from the Cities and the HSD. The Aquatics Management Group has agreed to continue to manage the MRP with a three-percent cost of living factored in each year, hence the increase in 2008 and 2009. Des Moines has repaid the \$50,000 they owe from the 2005 amended ILA contract. At the conclusion of this contract, SeaTac will receive a percentage of the capital money that has not been spent.

Parks & Recreation Director Ledbetter reviewed the above summary.

CM Shape stated this is a good agreement. It is to the City's benefit to continue to support the MRP.

Council Consensus: Referred to the 7/11/06 RCM Consent Agenda

AGENDA BILL PRESENTATIONS (Continued):

Agenda Bill #2625 – A Motion authorizing the City Manager to enter into an Agreement with the YMCA for Recreational Services and Low-income Memberships

Summary: By entering into the agreement, the City can supplement its recreational programs through the services provided by the YMCA.

The 2006 Budget includes \$1,032,105 for the YMCA, and with this agreement, SeaTac intends to transmit the funds to the YMCA in January 2009. In return for these funds, the City will supplement its recreational services through use of the YMCA. These services will be selected by the City from a mutually agreed upon list. These services include YMCA memberships for low-income families, low-income individuals, and low-income seniors, use of the YMCA aquatics facility, and activities geared toward teens. It is further agreed between the City and the YMCA that, as a benefit for paying for these services in advance, the City will receive those services at the purchase rate for 2009 during the life of the agreement as well as a 10 percent discount. This will result in the City receiving a substantial discount on the purchase of these services. As part of the City's agreement with the YMCA, the City Parks & Recreation Director would meet with the YMCA Executive Director on a yearly basis to determine if any changes are appropriate in the purchase of YMCA programs and activities. The City Council would then approve any changes made in the purchase of service for that year. For the first list of purchased services, the Parks Director will work with the YMCA Executive Director to develop a list of proposed purchased services and seek approval from the City Council at its first RCM in January 2009.

The term of the agreement with the YMCA is 20 years or later if the services are carried over in a year, effective once the YMCA opens. The agreement further provides that if the YMCA does not open for use by June 30, 2009, any funds transmitted to the YMCA must be refunded, which protects the City, should the YMCA's plans change regarding the construction of the SeaTac YMCA.

Parks & Recreation Director Ledbetter introduced YMCA Executive Director Jim Miller. Mr. Ledbetter reviewed the above summary.

Mr. Miller stated that this agreement mirrors quite a bit what the Auburn agreement looks like. The YMCA is on schedule per the Development Agreement (DA) with the City.

Discussion ensued as to the low-income residents' benefits. CM Brennan feels this should be free and open to all SeaTac residents.

Mr. Miller stated that it is actually more of a sliding scale for scholarships. He detailed how this scale works.

Upon a question posed by CM T. Anderson, Mr. Ledbetter stated that the money given to the YMCA from the City is dedicated to the SeaTac residents.

Council Consensus: Referred to the 7/11/06 RCM New Business

Agenda Bill #2658 – An Ordinance adding a new Section 15.38 to the SeaTac Municipal Code (SMC) adopting Interim Development Standards (IDS) to Properties located within the South 154th Street Station Area, entering Findings of Fact supporting the adoption of Interim Standards, and lifting the Moratorium imposed by Resolution No. 06-005

Summary: Sound Transit has begun construction of the station that will serve the South 154th Street Station Area. The City is in the process of planning for Transit Oriented Development (TOD) around this station, and anticipates completing the Station Area Plan, including Final Development Standards (FDS) to implement the Plan, by November of this year. Adopting the IDS will lift the moratorium now in place, allowing potential projects to go forward. Adopting the IDS will also allow time for City staff and the Planning Commission (PC) to complete a unified planning process, and to formulate FDS that reflect input from the public and the affected business community.

Based on the progress made by City staff and the SeaTac Center property owners about the terms of a proposed DA, it is anticipated that the property owners will support adoption of the IDS at the July 11 RCM.

It is now a widely accepted principle that the presence of a light rail transit (LRT) station creates a demand for services and building/development types that support higher office, commercial, and residential densities, and increases pedestrian activity. Anticipating this demand and the increased development potential for services and facilities that could benefit SeaTac residents and businesses, the City is conducting a public planning process to develop final development standards for the South 154th Street Station Area.

AGENDA BILL PRESENTATIONS (Continued):

Agenda Bill #2658 (Continued): In 2001, the City was engaged in station area planning studies, but uncertainty about the route and station locations caused that effort to be put on hold. Now that the route and station location is firmly established, the City is once again taking up this project. As part of that process, Council approved a moratorium on development and land use actions in the South 154th Street Station Area, on February 28, 2006. The purpose of the moratorium was to allow for unified planning of a TOD concept. As part of that planning effort, staff has developed IDS for the South 154th Street Station Area, as a precursor to FDS applicable to all properties in that area. The IDS include provisions suggested by interested developers that would allow one of their projects to go forward while preserving the essential elements of the TOD concept.

The Planning Commission (PC) voted on June 5, 2006 to recommend Council adopt the IDS, which includes the provisions allowing for drive-through facilities with conditions. The Land Use & Parks (LUP) Committee also made the same recommendation. At the June 27 RCM, however, the PC Chair asked that this topic be re-examined by the PC at their July 10 meeting with the SeaTac Center property owners to be in attendance to give their perspective.

At Council's request, City staff has been in discussions since the last RCM with the SeaTac Center property owners and their attorney about the terms of a proposed DA. Based on the progress made during those discussions, it is anticipated that the property owners will support adoption of the IDS at the July 11 RCM.

Planning Director Butler stated that the IDS proposed are unchanged from the IDS presented at the June 27, 2006 RCM and staff still recommends adoption with the provision that the Commercial Park-and-Fly's be prohibited.

PC Chair Linda Snider stated that the PC reviewed this item at the July 11 meeting and recommends the IDS with the exclusion of SeaTac Municipal Code (SMC) 15.38.170 - Public/Private Parking. She explained the PC rationale for that recommendation. While City staff and K&S are working on this issue for the potential DA, nothing has been formally decided. In the event that something should happen to the preliminary DA that is being developed, there would still be a provision in the IDS whereby they could erect a parking garage. The PC stated that they understand the IDS are interim and will continue to review them with staff.

SeaTac Center property owner Scott Switzer stated everyone has worked hard to come to a fair agreement in order to develop this property. A letter has been prepared with the City Manager's signature stating that City staff and K&S have reached agreement. This letter is as far as K&S can go without applying for a DA. K&S is in support of the IDS as presented as long as the City and K & S move forward with the DA.

Deputy Mayor (DM) Shape stated his opposition to the PC recommendation regarding prohibiting the Commercial Park-and-Fly as he feels they should be kept in the IDS.

Mayor Fisher stated the City needs this parking garage. If the City is going to adopt the DA, he wants to make sure there is a timeline. He also stated Council would like staff to attempt to negotiate with K&S an option for the City to purchase or lease a couple of floors in the parking garage to enhance the development area combined with this garage.

Mr. Switzer stated that K and S plans to dedicate the bottom one or two floors for public use.

CM DeHan clarified that it is the Council's intent that the DA have some definite milestones and is time certain. Also, Council would like to ensure that there will be adequate parking for the future development of the entire station area.

Mr. Switzer stated it is their intent to file for a DA next week and submit application for a permit for Starbuck's right away. The factor that will hold K&S up is how long it takes to complete the DA.

Council Consensus: Referred to the 7/11/06 RCM Consent Agenda

Agenda Bill #2676 – A Resolution ratifying amendments to the King County (KC) Countywide Planning Policies (CPP)

Summary: SeaTac is being asked to ratify two proposed amendments to the KC CPPs. Both are Motions of the KC Growth Management Planning Council (GMPC) that were approved on April 26, 2006:

1) Motion No. 06-1 - A Motion to amend the interim Potential Annexation Area (PAA) map, for an area adjacent to the City of Renton, in the CPP (Motion No. 06-1). This Motion is supported by KC and the City of Renton,

AGENDA BILL PRESENTATIONS (Continued):

Agenda Bill #2676 (Continued): adding the area known as West Hill to the PAA of the City of Renton. This amendment would have no direct impact on the City of SeaTac; and

(2) Motion No. 06-2 - A Motion recommending the amendment of the CPP by revising existing CPP LU-25b by allowing adjustments be made to adopted growth targets as both annexations and incorporations occur, shifting growth targets previously allocated to unincorporated areas of KC to the newly annexing jurisdiction. It also establishes a formula by which new household and employment targets are to be determined for a new municipality and calls for measures to ensure overall target levels in the County remain the same. This amendment would have no direct impact on the City of SeaTac.

All Municipalities in KC are being asked to take action on ratification of these proposed amendments. Amendments to the CPP must be ratified by at least 30 percent of the City and County governments representing 70 percent of the population in KC. SeaTac routinely reviews proposed amendments to CPP, and makes decisions pertaining to ratifying them as appropriate.

Planning Director Steve Butler reviewed the above summary.

Council discussion ensued as to why the City needs to pass this Resolution if the City is not affected. Mr. Butler stated that if no action is taken by the City, the City will be deemed to have ratified amendments by default.

Council Consensus: Referred to the 7/11/06 RCM New Business

Agenda Bill #2695 – A Motion accepting for further consideration the 2006 Final Docket of Proposed Comprehensive Plan (CP) Amendments

Summary: The City of SeaTac procedures for amending the CP provide for consideration of proposed amendments for the 2006 calendar year in two stages. The first stage, the Preliminary Docket, requires that all proposed amendments be evaluated according to the following criteria: 1) The proposal is consistent with requirements of the Growth Management Act (GMA) and CPP; 2) The proposal was not proposed in either of the previous two calendar years unless: a) conditions have changed substantially in the immediate areas, or b) the proposal was eliminated in the previous year due to incomplete information; and 3) Is not in conflict with an adopted CP Policy; is not redundant with, or duplicative of, an adopted CP Policy; or is not clearly out of character with the goals of the adopted CP.

In addition to the above criteria, proposed map changes are evaluated against some additional criteria: 1) The proposal is or can be adequately served by sewer, water and roads; 2) The site affected is physically suited for anticipated development, and 3) The proposal will not create pressure to change the designations of other properties unless in the interest of the neighborhood, City and region.

Proposals that do not satisfy these criteria are not recommended to be included in the second stage, the Final Docket, as potential 2005 amendments to the CP. Conversely, all proposed amendments that satisfy these criteria are recommended to be included in the Final Docket. The Final Docket amendments will be subjected to environmental (SEPA) review and public notice requirements, and considered in a Public Hearing (PH) to be held by the PC, tentatively scheduled for November 6, 2006. Council is tentatively scheduled to act upon the Final Docket on November 21, 2006. Council may elect not to adopt any of the Final Docket proposals at that stage of the process.

Planning Director Steve Butler reviewed the above summary and the proposed amendments.

Council discussion ensued as to Land Use Plan Map Amendment No. 6. If the Port of Seattle (POS) is not able to purchase all of the remaining property, this amendment will be withdrawn.

Mrs. Snider gave a brief history of the PC discussion on this issue: 1) Map Amendment No. 1 – if the circles detailing the station areas are eliminated, the City will lose the history of the work that went into those circles. The PC requests the circles be shown in addition to the outlining being proposed; and 2) Map Amendment No. 5 – The PC has had concern over the fact that the boundary line for the Station Area Plan was stopped on the southwest edge about a block and a half east of the “L” shaped property. It is a matter of a block and a half of residences that are being left in “no mans land”. It is not included in the station area and it will be impacted by the “L” shaped property. The PC requested that area be included in the Station Area Plan, but was told it was too late; and 3) Aviation Commercial (AVC) Zoning – The PC was pleased that rather than the whole area south of South 200th Street being included in that AVC Zone issue, it was just going to be a few parcels. Mrs. Snider stated the PC recommends the final docket with all proposals presented.

AGENDA BILL PRESENTATIONS (Continued):

Agenda Bill #2695 (Continued): CM Wythe clarified that by approving this Motion, Council is not approving the amendments, just moving them forward to the next stage of the process.

Discussion ensued as to Map Amendment No. 5 as mentioned by Mrs. Snider. Mr. Butler stated in the context of the station area, staff was trying to deal with a quarter-mile. By the time this issue came up, the Station Area Plan had already been designated. There are three options: 1) review it next year; 2) extend the map amendment; or 3) designate the block and a half as a separate map amendment.

Council Consensus: Referred to the 7/11/06 RCM Consent Agenda

Agenda Bill #2678 – A Motion appointing Committee Members to prepare the statements for and against Ballot Proposition No. 1 for the Local Voters’ Pamphlet

Summary: This Motion appoints committee members to prepare the statements for and against Ballot Proposition No. 1 for the local voters’ pamphlet, as authorized by Revised Code of Washington (RCW) 29A.32.280.

Council adopted Resolution 06-015, which requested that the KC Division of Records, Elections, and Licensing produce and publish a local Voter’s Pamphlet for the September 19, 2006 Election. RCW 29A.32.280 provides that when a local government requests a voters’ pamphlet for a ballot proposition, the legislative body shall: “formally appoint a committee to prepare arguments advocating voters’ approval of the measure and shall formally appoint a committee to prepare arguments advocating voters’ rejection of the measure. The authority shall appoint persons known to favor the measure to serve on the committee advocating approval and shall, whenever possible, appoint persons known to oppose the measure to serve on the committee advocating rejection.”

State law does not specify the procedures that a legislative body should follow in order to appoint the committee members. RCW 29A.32.280 also provides that each committee shall have not more than three members. And, if the Council does not appoint members to the committee, RCW 29A.32.280 provides that “the County Auditor shall whenever possible make the appointments.”

The City printed an advertisement in the June 21, 2006 Highline Times which solicited volunteers to serve on the committees. In addition, a copy of this advertisement was posted outside City Hall, at the Community Center, at the Fire Stations, and at Valley View Library. The City provided a deadline of Friday June 30, 2006 for individuals to file a letter of interest with the City Clerk’s Office, requesting to serve on one of the two committees. The City received two letters requesting to be appointed to the committee advocating approval of Proposition No. 1 (Linda Snider and Barry Ladenburg) and two letters requesting to be appointed to the committee advocating rejection of Proposition No. 1 (Joseph Dixon and Frank Hansen).

Once appointed, the City Clerk will transmit the names of those appointed individuals to the King County Division of Records, Elections, and Licensing, who will, in turn, make arrangements to receive copies of the committee statements advocating approval or rejection of Proposition No. 1.

Fire Chief Bob Meyer reviewed the above summary.

Council discussion ensued as to the appointment of these committees, clarifying there is no minimum number of members that must be appointed.

DM Shape recommended that Council advocate appointing these committees based on the number of applications received. He suggested appointing Linda Snider and Barry Ladenburg to the approval committee and Joseph Dixon to the rejection committee.

Council Consensus: Referred to the 7/11/06 RCM New Business

CONSENT AGENDA:

- **Approval of claims vouchers** (check nos. 71983 - 72114) in the amount of \$416,096.38 for the period ended July 5, 2006.
- **Approval of claims vouchers** (check nos. 72115 - 72120) in the amount of \$725.35 for the period ended July 6, 2006.
- **Approval of payroll vouchers** (check nos. 44909 - 44966) in the amount of \$361,200.49 for the period ended June 30, 2006.
- **Approval of payroll electronic fund transfers** (check nos. 47232 - 47413) in the amount of \$283,602.42 for the period ended June 30, 2006.

CONSENT AGENDA (Continued):

● **Approval of payroll wire transfer** (Medicare and Federal Withholding Tax) in the amount of \$57,145.70 for the period ended June 30, 2006.

Approval of Council Meeting Minutes:

- **Regular Council Meeting** held May 23, 2006.
- **Special Council Meeting** held June 13, 2006.
- **Transportation & Public Works Committee Meeting** held June 27, 2006.
- **Special Council Meeting** held June 27, 2006.
- **Regular Council Meeting** held June 27, 2006.

Agenda Items reviewed under Agenda Bill Presentations were recommended for placement on this Consent Agenda.

Agenda Bill #2687

A Motion extending the Interlocal Agreement for the Mt. Rainier Pool for years 2007 to 2009 by Mt. Rainier Pool Contributors and Mt. Rainier Pool Owners

Agenda Bill #2658; Ordinance #06-1019

An Ordinance adding a new Section 15.38 to the SeaTac Municipal Code adopting Interim Development Standards to Properties located within the South 154th Street Station Area, entering Findings of Fact supporting the adoption of Interim Standards, and lifting the Moratorium imposed by Resolution No. 06-005

Agenda Bill #2695

A Motion accepting for further consideration the 2006 Final Docket of Proposed Comprehensive Plan Amendments

MOVED BY T. ANDERSON, SECONDED BY DEHAN TO ACCEPT THE CONSENT AGENDA AS PRESENTED.*

PUBLIC COMMENTS (related to the Consent Agenda): Joe Dixon, 19211 35th Avenue South, clarified that his reason for voting for eliminating the Public/Private Parking section from the IDS, stating that he feels the IDS prohibiting the Commercial Park-and-Fly and a DA allowing for it are diametrically opposite. Therefore, he feels that the Council should eliminate the prohibition of no parking for fees.

*MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

PUBLIC HEARING:

● **Vacation of Portions of South 172nd Street; 31st Place South; and 31st Avenue South Right-of-way (ROW) generally between South 170th Street and South 173rd Street – Public Works**

Mayor Fisher opened the Public Hearing (PH) at 7:49 p.m.

Staff Report: Public Works Director Schroeder stated that this public hearing date was set in June anticipating that staff would have all of the information. However, staff is still awaiting the final appraisal report. He requested this PH be carried over to the July 25 RCM.

He reviewed the proposed street vacations and the agenda bill summary below.

Mayor Fisher continued the Public Hearing to the July 25, 2006 Regular Council Meeting at 7:56 p.m.

NEW BUSINESS:

This item was postponed to the July 25 RCM.

Agenda Bill #2689

An Ordinance vacating portions of South 172nd Street, 31st Place South and 31st Avenue South Rights-of-way (ROW)

Summary: Pursuant to the DA with Gateway Development LLC, a petition for vacation of those portions of South 172nd Street, 31st Place South and 31st Avenue South of ROW generally between South 170th and South 173rd Streets (north and south) and 31st and 32nd Avenues South (east and west) has previously been received. On June 13, 2006, Council, by Resolution No. 06-014, set a public hearing date of July 11, 2006.

As part of the Gateway Investment LLC DA, City staff recommends this request for street vacation to the City Council subject to compensation to the City and reservation of storm drainage easements. Gateway is seeking

NEW BUSINESS (Continued):

This item was postponed to the July 25 RCM.

Agenda Bill #2689

these street vacations to permit consolidation of parcels of land on all sides of the ROW requested to be vacated (South 172nd Street, 31st Place South and 31st Avenue South). All existing structures have been removed. An interim surface parking facility has been constructed.

The procedures for vacating a public ROW are defined in the RCW. A public hearing is to be held to consider the merits of the application to vacate the street in question. The date of the public hearing was set by Resolution adopted by the City Council on June 13, 2006 with the notice being posted on June 20, 2006. Per RCW, the date of the public hearing will not be more than sixty days nor less than twenty days after the date of the passage of the Resolution setting the date for the public hearing. The date of July 11, 2006 was the first RCM that met the criteria. The date of August 8, 2006 is the last RCM that meets the criteria. All the utilities serving the City were given notice of the various requests to vacate these ROWs.

PUBLIC COMMENTS (related to Unfinished and New Business): There were no public comments at this time.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS: There were no unfinished business items at this time.

NEW BUSINESS (Continued):

Agenda Bill #2625

A Motion authorizing the City Manager to enter into an Agreement with the YMCA for Recreational Services and Low-income Memberships

Upon a question posed by City Manager Ward, Mr. Miller stated that a person would not need to be a member of the YMCA to receive the benefits of the aquatic services, monthly teen nights, and other recreational services and classes. Therefore, through this agreement, the City would be providing services to all of the community, in addition to the low-income services.

CM Brennan stated he is a strong supporter of the YMCA but still has reservations in regards to this agreement.

MOVED BY DEHAN, SECONDED BY A. ANDERSON TO PASS AGENDA BILL NO. 2625.

UPON A ROLL CALL VOTE, MOTION CARRIED WITH DEHAN, SHAPE, T. ANDERSON, WYTHE, A. ANDERSON, AND FISHER VOTING YES AND BRENNAN VOTING NO.

Agenda Bill #2676; Resolution #06-016

A Resolution ratifying amendments to the King County Countywide Planning Policies

MOVED BY WYTHE, SECONDED BY DEHAN TO PASS RESOLUTION NO. 06-016.

MOTION CARRIED WITH DEHAN, BRENNAN, T. ANDERSON, WYTHE, A. ANDERSON, AND FISHER VOTING YES AND SHAPE VOTING NO.

Agenda Bill #2678

A Motion appointing Committee Members to prepare the statements for and against Ballot Proposition No. 1 for the Local Voters' Pamphlet

MOVED BY DEHAN, SECONDED BY BRENNAN TO PASS AGENDA BILL NO. 2676 TO PREPARE THE STATEMENTS FOR AND AGAINST BALLOT PROPOSITION NO. 1 FOR THE LOCAL VOTERS' PAMPHLET AND APPOINT LINDA SNIDER AND BARRY LADENBURG TO ADVOCATE APPROVAL AND JOSEPH DIXON AND FRANK HANSEN TO ADVOCATE REJECTION.*

Discussion ensued as to the appointment of Mr. Hansen and the fact that he may be out of town for the next month, and therefore, may be unable to provide his statement.

MOVED BY SHAPE, SECONDED BY T. ANDERSON TO AMEND THE ORIGINAL MOTION THAT THE COMMITTEE TO ADVOCATE REJECTION BE COMPOSED OF JOSEPH DIXON.**

Discussion continued as to the appointment of Mr. Hansen stating that since he submitted his interest as required, he should be considered for appointment.

**UPON A ROLL CALL VOTE, AMENDED MOTION FAILED WITH SHAPE VOTING YES AND DEHAN, BRENNAN, T. ANDERSON, WYTHE, A. ANDERSON, AND FISHER VOTING NO.

*MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

CITY MANAGER'S COMMENTS: City Manager Ward had no comments at this time.

COUNCIL COMMENTS: Mayor Fisher stated that Council had a retreat today beginning at 9 a.m. with CM DeHan facilitating. He thanked CM DeHan for his efforts as he did a great job.

ADJOURNMENT:

MOVED BY DEHAN, SECONDED BY T. ANDERSON TO ADJOURN THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE SEATAC CITY COUNCIL AT 8:13 PM.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Gene Fisher, Mayor

Kristina Gregg, Deputy City Clerk